

KING COUNTY,	)	
	)	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	)	Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-01957-BJR
	)	
v.	)	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS’
	)	MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER
	)	AND GRANTING PLAINTIFF’S CROSS-
TRAVELERS INDEMNITY CO.,	)	MOTION TO COMPEL
PROVIDENCE WASHINGTON	)	
INSURANCE CO., <i>et al.,</i>	)	
	)	
<i>Defendants.</i>	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	

1

1 interpretation of the original policy is excluded. Interpretation of the current policy at issue is a  
2 matter for the Court, and, therefore, not an appropriate subject of discovery. The Court also  
3 excludes from discovery the questions of AIG's re-insurance and reserves.

4 Plaintiff is entitled to pursue discovery surrounding AIG's affirmative defenses, including  
5 those involving notice and statute of limitations. The Court anticipates that with the parameters  
6 set forth above, the discovery Plaintiff seeks will be neither extensive nor costly.

7 Dated this 13<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2018.  
8

9  
10 

11  
12 

---

BARBARA J. ROTHSTEIN  
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25